1 2 3

Ċ

4 5

ABSTRACT

6 7

Aims: The Morgan's Lab, at Wesleyan University – Connecticut, experimentally probed the dynamics of an atom /molecule using Semi-Classical approach. The experimental setup at Morgan's Lab is designed to generate a neutral atomic or molecular beam, which is then excited with a finely tuned laser to Rydberg states; the highest quantized energy states the electron can be in before ionization.

Potential of the Penning Ionization Gauge (PIG)

Ion Source in attaining High Energy Rydberg

Original Research Article

States

Study design: In this current study, the potential of the Penning Ionization Gauge (PIG) as an ion source was evaluated for the Hydrogen atom.

Place and Duration of Study: Physics Department, Wesleyan University, Middletown, Connecticut, USA, between June 2007 and August 2009.

Methodology: The experimental setup is designed to generate a neutral atomic or molecular beam, which is then excited with a finely tuned laser to Rydberg states; the highest quantized energy states the electron can be in before ionization. The setup consists of an ion beam system consisting of a fast- metastable machine, vacuum pumping systems, an oven, a laser system consisting of a master YAG laser and a slave tunable dye laser, a second harmonic generator for doubling the frequency of the laser beam, Stark plate assembly, an ion detection system and a computer based data acquisition system with LABVIEW software.

Results: The PIG found to populate the Hydrogen Rydberg states at 300 % times more than the conventional ion source (8 μ Amp Vs. 2 μ Amp). Such high populations of ions lead to a high population of Rydberg states which in turn aided the overall experiment.

Conclusion: A better understanding of the dynamics of an electron can aid experiments in condensed matter physics and molecular physics. Obtaining high population of Rydberg states can aid in increasing electron dynamic experiments by increasing the resolution of the scaled-energy absorption spectra. Penning Ionization Gauge (PIG) showed to have a better potential in producing high energy Rydberg states for the hydrogen gas.

8 9

Keywords: Penning Ionization Gauge (PIG), Rydberg states, Semi Classical Mechanics, electron dynamics, hydrogen.

10 11

12

13 **1. INTRODUCTION**

14

The dynamics of an electron in an atom is intriguing to understand and, as a result, more research are being conducted on electron dynamics, and such research is very vital in the fields of condensed matter physics and atomic and molecular physics. Due to the fact that the quantum theory for electron dynamics is complex and obscure, recent studies have attempted to investigate the electron dynamics using classical and semi-classical approaches.

In recent years scientists have found that research on Rydberg atoms will play a vital role in the analysis and testing of semi-classical and quantum theory. This is due to the fact that the in Rydberg atoms, the perturbations of the core are negligible as the Rydberg electron spends very little time near the core of the atom and mostly is present far away from the 25 core. As a result there is no need to explicitly integrate the motion of the core electrons but
 26 rather can treat them as perturbations.

27 During the course of this study, the Morgan's Lab, at Wesleyan University - Connecticut in 28 USA, aimed to produce the recurrence spectra (the Fourier transform of the absorption spectra) of the desired atom. To arrive at the recurrence spectrum, there is a need to arrive 29 30 at electrons in high principal quantum numbers (n), or in other words have atoms/molecules 31 with their valence electrons in high energy states. A Rydberg atom/molecule is one with a 32 principal quantum number (n) greater than 15 and is considered to be highly excited. These highly excited Rydberg are then subjected to a Stark field for dynamic perturbation. As a final 33 34 product, an absorption spectrum of Rydberg atoms / molecules is obtained. This absorption 35 spectrum will then be analyzed via a Fourier transform to decipher the electron dynamics. This special type of spectroscopy is known to be well suited for systems that can be 36 37 explained via classical and quantum theories. The details on the dynamics of such an electron will add proof for existing atomic theories and also find applications in condensed 38 39 matter physics [1,2,3].

40 The Morgan lab is experimentally well equipped to highly populate electrons in metastable 41 energy levels (i.e. highly excited levels), which can later can be excited to the desired high 42 principal quantum numbers (n). The presence of powerful and stable ion beam sources, high voltages to steer and collimate the ion beam to pass through high vacuum chambers, a high 43 44 energy tunable laser system and a precise ion detection system make Morgan lab one of the 45 best places for conducting research on Rydbergs. With such facility, it was possible to understand the potential of different ion sources to produce stable ion beams for Rydberg 46 47 state population. In addition, comparison between commercial and in-house built instruments 48 will lead to better fabrication of in-house instruments and enable cost saving measures for 49 research. Also, comparison of ion sources will help future scientists to choose suitable 50 methods for populating Rydberg states, depending on their research needs.

The motivation of the current study was to investigate a cost effective method to populate highly excited states, which in turn will lead to a high population of Rydberg states. The main objective of this study is to document the potential of the Penning Ionization Gauge (PIG) as an ion source and how the PIG can help in obtaining a high population of Rydberg states. The paper will initially discuss the PIG source and the experimental setup at Morgan's Lab. A discussion on the enhancing the performance of the PIG source.

58 2. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

59

The main experimental set up in the Morgan lab consists of an ion beam system consisting of a fast- metastable machine, vacuum pumping systems, an oven, a laser system consisting of a master YAG laser and a slave tunable dye laser, a second harmonic generator for doubling the frequency of the laser beam, Stark plate assembly, an ion detection system and a computer based data acquisition system with LABVIEW software.. More information on the experimental setup can be obtained from [1, 2, 3, 4, 5].

66 **2.1. Fast – Meta Stable Machine:**

67 The Fast - Meta Stable Machine is the major component of the experimental setup, where in 68 an ion beam with high energy is generated, neutralized to a metastable state and then collimated to collide with a laser beam to attain a particular Rydberg energy state [figure 1]. 69 70 This machine consists of an ion source, a small chamber with vacuum pumps, a mid- post to detect and monitor the intensity of the ion beam, connector valves consisting of horizontal 71 72 and vertical steering plates to steer the ion beam, a big chamber with high - vacuum pumps 73 attached to liquid nitrogen traps and an end cup to monitor the beam at the entrance point of 74 the laser. In the past, an off-the shelf ion source - Colutron ion source was bought from 75 Colutron industries. In the current study another ion source, the PIG - Penning Ionization

Gauge ion source came from Prof. Manshukh Shah Lab at Queens's University of Belfast, 76

Northern Ireland. It is to be noted that most of the remaining apparatus were fabricated and 77

- 78 made in Wesleyan University's machine shop, while the rest were bought from Colutron 79 [6,7,8,9].



81 Figure 1: Fast Meta Stable Machine – schematic diagram by John David Wright [5]

82 **2.2. lon Gun**:

83 The purpose of the Ion Gun is to produce a stable ion beam (positive charged) of the atom / 84 molecule. The ion gun/ ion source plays one of the most important role in the study, as this is 85 the starting component in our experimental setup and forms the basis for aligning the entire 86 experimental setup. The ion gun is mounted to the small chamber in a receptacle with a heat 87 sinks [figure 1] so that the heat generated while producing the ion beam is not excessive and 88 to prevent heat damage to other parts of the experiment. Colling water is flushed in 89 continuously in and out of the heat sink to prevent heat build up and heat transfer to other 90 parts of the chambers.

91 **2.3. Gas inlet system**:

The gas inlet system determines the gas input to the experiment. The gas inlet system 92 93 consists of numerous connections that are made using special Swagelok barrel screws. 94 These clamp on to the plastic tube to the ion source. This gas inlet system is managed by 95 Vernier screw dials fitted micrometers and consists of a thin needle that slides into a hole on 96 a base. Gas flow stops when the needle fits into the hole, and the gas flows as needle 97 gradually goes out of the hole. Industrial pressure gauges (purchased from Kurt J Lesker 98 company) were used to measure and monitor the pressure changes in the system [6, 7, 10, 99 11].

100 2.4. Penning Ionization Gauge (PIG) Source:

The Penning Ionization Gauge [figure 2], hereafter referred to as the PIG, is a high 101 energy/stable ion source designed and fabricated at Dr. Mansukh Shah's laboratory in 102 103 Belfast. The PIG source was known to produce a high intensity beam of ions, especially 104 using hydrogen gas. It was known to be a very stable ion source and the maintenance was 105 almost zero. The PIG, with some help from Weslevan's machine shop, was able to fit 106 perfectly with the entrance of the small chamber in Morgan's lab. The PIG initially had its 107 own optic column, however, as the Morgan's lab already had a sophisticated system to focus 108 ion beam, the PIG's ion optic column was decoupled so that the PIG can mount easily in 109 exactly the same place as the Colutron source (a conventional ion source). The Colutron 110 source has a hot tungsten filament to generate electrons, but the PIG uses plasma 111 discharge to generate electrons. The plasma electrons generated are confined by an axial 112 magnetic field and a repulsively biased cathode and anti-cathode pair. As a result, the PIG is not dependent on an external cooling system. Further information on the characterization of 113 114 the PIG can be obtained from Chinnasamy [3]. In addition, because of the close tolerances 115 in the machining of the PIG, the solidity of its components is a unique feature. It is to be 116 noted that changing any component in the PIG was easy/quick and as a result, the 117 experiment stoppage time was limited.

118 **2.4.1. Beam production in the PIG Ion Source**

119 The schematic of the PIG is shown in Figure 2. A beam is produced in the PIG when a 120 discharge ionizes the gas. Hence, producing a powerful and steady discharge is key. At the 121 PIG ion source, a floating high voltage is supplied to the PIG's cathode from a transformer. A 122 threshold voltage of 3 KV was maintained, as a voltage above that would result in overloading and would lead to a transformer meltdown and burning of transformer coils. In 123 124 addition, to produce a stable beam, a steady and stable discharge and accelerating voltage 125 was applied. Stray voltages can easily flow from the cathode to other connections in the 126 experimental setup, especially the gas tee (Figure 2). A high voltage/current on the gas tee 127 can easily harm the user and hence care was taken to avoid this by replacing the connection 128 between the gas tee and gas cylinder with non-conducting plastic tubes. Also direct contact

with the power supply was avoided at all times by using mica or Mylar enclosures and knobs.

131 For beam production, a discharge voltage can be applied to the Mollies (molybdenum 132 cathode and anode parts of the PIG) up to 500 hours, i.e. lifetime of 500 hours which is 133 much higher than conventional industrial cathodes. Regular maintenance can increase the 134 lifetime of these Mollies and can result in a steady and stable beam. In particular, the surface 135 of the mollies should be smooth and clean. An uneven surface will cause uneven discharge 136 and will lead to the emergence of an ion beam that flows in random directions leading to 137 difficulties in beam collimation. In addition, for maintenance purposes, it is handy to have an 138 extra set of Mollies to readily replace when needed. To provide insulation between the 139 anode and cathode connections, insulating papers are used. In order to check any charge 140 buildup or improper connections due to wear and tear of insulation papers, the conductivity 141 should be checked periodically at different locations.

142 2.4.2. Beam confinement in the PIG

143 Beam confinement in the PIG is one of the most important aspects for the experiment, as the 144 PIG produces a massive amount of ions, which without proper focusing can lead to a lot of 145 stray charge build up. In the PIG, a powerful axial magnet is used for beam confinement. 146 Since a massive magnetic field is needed for confinement of a massive ion beam, a 147 permanent strong magnet is used. In addition, due to the massive weight of the PIG, there is 148 a possibility of misalignment arising due to bending of the source over time. Hence, proper 149 non conducting ceramic spacers are placed between the PIG and the experiment table. In order to be safe in handling high voltages, the PIG is also enclosed in a mica box to isolate 150 151 the user and the high voltages that are applied to the source. In addition, the high voltage should not affect the ion beam flow path. Also, the extractor should be grounded by a hard 152 153 grounding supply. This is very important, as with a safe and hard grounded supply, any high 154 floating voltage is prevented from flowing along the entire length of the experiment.

Once the ion beam is focused into the small chamber, it starts to diverge a lot as it moves away from the collimating magnets. The reason for this is because the PIG's nature is to produce a massive beam that diverges, unlike the Colutron which produces a narrower ion beam. As a result, the ion beam produced by the PIG can be harder to control when compared to that in the Colutron. However, once the PIG's ion beam is effectively focused, the resulting ion beam is stable and can serve the experiment's objectives. An electrometer is used to measure the strength of the ion beam, by measuring its current.

162 In the next stage of the experiment, there is another set of beam focusing apparatus - the 163 vertical steering plates are powered by a high voltage supply. Since, there are a number of 164 locations in the experiment with high voltages, it is essential to check proper grounding and 165 to isolate the measurement readers. At the steering plates, the beam has to be focused both 166 vertically and horizontally so that most of the beam hits the detector plate. In addition to 167 vertical and horizontal steering, it is essential to reduce the divergence of the beam for 168 proper focusing. For this a good vacuum in the chambers is an essential component that 169 enables the beam to propagate with less deflection/divergence. Past studies in the Morgan 170 lab have estimated, after numerous trial and error, that a typical operating pressure for the experiment should be in the low 10⁻⁶ Torr. In the current study, it was found that by using 171 172 liquid nitrogen traps and diffusion pumps can result in pressures in the 10⁻⁷ Torr range. Such 173 pressures can eliminate straying of the ion beam from the PIG by a huge amount.

174 It is to be noted that the entire ion beam produced from the PIG cannot be completely 175 neutralized, as the oven for producing electrons for neutralization is a small one. As a result, 176 some of the remaining ion beam should be deflected from passing on to the further stages of the experiment. In order to deflect extra ion beam, a set of sweeper plates (Figure 2) are operated. Voltages that are applied to the sweeper plates effectively sweep off the unneutralized ion beam. The un deflected neutralized metastable beam progresses further along the experiment and is monitored and read at the endcup, which has a bias voltage. A bias voltage of 300 V (positive as it needs to attract electrons) is applied to attract all the electrons ejected from the detector plate, which in turn prevents the ejected electrons from being re-attracted back to the detector plate. This prevents errors in the electrometer.

184 **2.4.3. Monitoring strength of beam produced by the PIG**

185 Since it is important to know the ion beam's behavior along the experiment, the strength of 186 the beam is monitored at different stages in the experiment. A midpost is present in the 187 experiment (Figure 2) to monitor the strength of the ion beam at the initial stages of the 188 experiment. It is to be noted that the application of power to the electromagnets should be 189 unidirectional as if the power is raised and lowered without sufficient time, any hysteresis in the magnet can lead to errors in the reading and in assessing the strength of the ion beam. 190 191 On the other hand, the voltages on the shim plates are set according to the system's 192 geometry. The power of the ion beam can also be measured here using detector plates.

193 Once the ion beam passes these monitors, it enters into the big chamber through an 194 aperture with diameter in a couple of mm. The collimated beam is then exposed to the 195 potassium vapor from the oven and is neutralized.

Details on the processes occurring beyond this point and the small chamber are beyond the scope of this current paper, however, interested readers are directed to JD Wright's work

198 [1,2,3,4,5].

199





Figure 2: Schematic of the PIG – Penning Ionization Gauge, John David Wright [5].

202 The regular monitoring of the ion beam also aided in finding errors with the alignment of the 203 system. However, since the PIG was a massive producer of ion beams, the alignment was 204 not a big factor (when compared to the Colutron) as even a fraction of the PIG's ion beam 205 was sufficient for the experiment's objectives. But, as discussed above, the remaining beam 206 can lead to unpredictable charge build up as they can crash into the chamber. To avoid this, 207 the strength of the ion beam has to be monitored at regular intervals, and loose ends hardly 208 grounded. Also, as per David Wright [1, 2,3, 4, 5] a beam with a lot of ions may produce a lot 209 of background noise as the ions may bounce off from the walls of the apparatus, and thus 210 lead to a low signal to noise ratio.

211 It is to be noted that the PIG's discharge unit was powered by high voltage supplied from an 212 isolation transformer. A floating power supply was avoided by setting the discharge voltage 213 to float on top of the acceleration voltage as described in [10, 11, 12]. As a result, any high 214 voltage hazard to the user was prevented.

215

216 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

217

218 In order to compare the ion source potential between the PIG and a conventional/industrial 219 ion source (Colutron) it was necessary to compare the stability and the strength of the ion 220 beams produced by the PIG and Colutron at different stages in the experiment. Of the 221 different stages, the most important stage was at the endcup (Figure 2). The endcup is the 222 stage where the powerful laser beam collides with the neutralized ion beam. Therefore, the neutrals that can reach the endcup have high probability of being excited by the laser beam 223 224 by collision to reach the Rydberg state. Hence the study compared the power of the ion 225 beam at the end cup (Figure 3 and Table 1). However, the initial stage (midpost) and error 226 checking stages (beam dumps) were also compared to show the efficacy of one ion source 227 over the other (Figure 3).

228



Position at which beam strength is measured

229 230

Figure 3: Comparison of ion beam strengths produced by two different sources in the Morgan lab - the PIG and the Colutron.

232

It is to be noted that in both cases the experimental gas used was hydrogen. In addition, the oven apertures and other experimental settings were consistent between the two ion sources to avoid any bias. In addition to estimating the strength of the ion beams produced by the PIG and Colutron, the two setups were run for three hours to compare the stability of the beam after three hours.

238

239 In both cases the PIG source was better for the study's objective. From Figure 3, it is clear 240 that the PIG source is capable of producing a much stronger neutral beam than the Colutron, 241 a commercially available off-the-shelf instrument, which is also costlier than the lab made 242 PIG. It is to be noted that the endcup's values in Figure 3 for both the PIG and Colutron are 243 multiplied by a factor of 25 to show the comparison of the two ion sources. The PIG's results 244 indicate that at least 50% more beam is produced. In addition, the PIG's ion beam was still 245 on the same strength even after three hours of continuous running, which adds to the PIG's 246 stability. Also the maintenance of the PIG ion source is less when compared to that needed while using the Colutron. Furthermore, the PIG setup does not require regular opening of the 247 248 experimental setup.

249

However, it was noted that the ratio of neutrals to ion beam was lower in case of the PIG than that of the Colutron. This is due to the fact that the ion beam is huge in PIG setup, and that a huge portion of most of the ion beam is not neutralized. The ratio of neutrals to ion beam is necessary factor to understand the signal to noise ratio, that can affect the overall objective of the study. Hence, a bigger beam is not always a better beam, but with proper measures, the unwanted beam can be effectively channelized without affecting the overall experiment. One such measure is the use of shielding elements such as plates that are grounded. Such shielding elements can effectively shield the main detection system, the Micro Channel Plate (MCP). In our study, the MCP is shielded with a plate hood and as a result the MCP only detects the neutralized signal and not the un neutralized beam. These noise producing un neutralized beam collides with materials inside the chamber, and hence a strong ground can be used to eliminate their influence.

263

Table 1: Table showing the measurement of the beam at various positions in the experiment.

Position in the experiment at which strength of beam measured	PIG ion source	Colutron ion source
Midpost (µ amps)	8	2
Beam Dump (µ amps)	2	1
Endcup *25 (namps)	7.5	5

266

These results show that the PIG is a much stronger ion beam source compared to the Colutron ion source. As a result, it is inferred that the PIG is a much better ion source and is suitable for producing high *n* Rydberg atoms / molecules.

270 271

272 4. CONCLUSION

273

274 A better understanding of the dynamics of an electron can aid experiments in condensed 275 matter physics and molecular physics. Obtaining high population of Rydberg states can aid 276 in increasing electron dynamic experiments by increasing the resolution of the scaled-energy 277 absorption spectra. Even though many conventional and in house techniques are available 278 for production of high energy ion beams, there has not been a comparison between them. 279 This study compared the potential of two ion sources in producing Rydberg atoms that can 280 aid electron dynamics research. The in-house made, cost effective Penning Ionization 281 Gauge (PIG) showed to have a better potential in producing high energy Rydberg states for 282 the hydrogen gas. Results indicated at least 50% increase in ion beam strength when using 283 the PIG. In addition, the costs associated with the procurement and maintenance of the PIG 284 source was negligible when compared to a conventional source like the Colutron. Hence, in 285 the future, reserachers with limited resources can make use of the Penning Ionization Gauge 286 (PIG) technique to achieve high energy ion beams with a fraction of the cost. The current 287 paper, for the first time, provides details of working of the PIG source, and could be of much 288 interest for the future physicists.

289 290

291 **REFERENCES**

- 292
- Wright JD, Flores-Rueda H, Huang W, Morgan TJ. Experimental Observation of Short Action Recurrences in m= 1 Stark Helium Rydberg Atoms. In APS Division of Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics Meeting Abstracts. 2000: 1
- Wright JD, Huang W, Flores-Rueda H, Morgan TJ. Scaled-Absorption and Recurrence Spectra of Argon in an Electric Field Using Two Photon Excitation. In

- APS Division of Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics Meeting Abstracts. 2001: 1 :5077
- Wright JD, Morgan TJ, Li L, Gu Q, Knee JL, Petrov ID, Sukhorukov VL, Hotop H.
 Photoionization spectroscopy of even-parity autoionizing Rydberg states of argon: Experimental and theoretical investigation of Fano profiles and resonance widths.
 Physical Review A. 2008: 77: 062512
- Wright JD, Staehle F, Flores-Rueda H, Blumel R, Morgan TJ. Classically Scaled Quantum Calculations for Direct Comparison with Experimental Scaled Energy Spectra. In APS Division of Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics Meeting Abstracts. 2002: 1:6068
- Wright JD. Recurrence spectroscopy of atomic Argon and the classical nature of
 quantum spectra. Wesleyan University. 2006
- Chinnasamy P. Attaining High Energy Rydberg States Using the Penning Ionization
 Gauge (PIG) Ion Source and the New Laser System. Wesleyan University. 2009
- Flores-Rueda H, Jensen RV, Wright JD, Morgan TJ. Simple Analytic Formula for the Stark Recurrence Spectrum. In APS Division of Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics Meeting Abstracts. 2001: 1: 5076
- Flores-Rueda H. Stark Recurrence Spectroscopy of Rydberg Helium and Argon Atoms, Wesleyan University. 2002
- Keeler ML, Flores-Rueda H, Wright JD, Morgan TJ. Scaled-energy spectroscopy of argon atoms in an electric field. Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics. 2004: 37: 809–815
- 320 10. Keeler ML. Recurrence spectroscopy of Helium, 1998, Wesleyan University, 1998
- Haestad J, Murphy A, Wright JD, Morgan TJ. Experimental isotope shifts in Stark
 recurrence spectra of Rydberg D 2 and H 2 molecules. Physical Review A. 2012: 85:
 034502
- Jensen RV, Flores-Rueda H, Wright JD, Keeler ML, Morgan TJ. Structure of the
 Stark recurrence spectrum. Physical Review A. 2000: 62: 053410

326